

**SEP 14 2006**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

RONALD G. SIPES,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 05-10769

D.C. No. CR-03-50177-MHM

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Arizona  
Mary H. Murguia, Distict Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 11, 2006 \*\*

Before: PREGERSON, T.G. NELSON, and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

Ronald G. Sipes appeals from his 3-month sentence imposed upon revocation of supervised release. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), counsel for Sipes has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. No pro se supplemental

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penon v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 83 (1988), discloses no grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.